Positive serology for viral hepatitis and donor self-exclusion in Southern Brazil


[1]. Núcleo de Estudos em Gastroenterologia e Hepatologia, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, SC. [2]. Serviço de Hemoterapia, Hospital Universitário Polydoro Ernani de São Thiago, Florianópolis, SC.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Despite the great advances in serological testing for transfusion-transmitted infections, the selection of blood donors by blood bank operators remains the only way to avoid transmission within the testing window period. Part of this selection is the self-exclusion form, on which the donors can exclude their blood from donation without any explanation. This study assessed the clinical and epidemiological characteristics related to positivity for viral hepatitis and to the use of the confidential self-exclusion (CSE) form. Methods: This transversal study analyzed the data collected from blood donors’ files in a hospital in Southern Brazil. Univariate and multivariate analyses identified the clinical and epidemiological variables related to positive serologies of viral hepatitis and to whether the donor was self-excluded. Results: Of the 3,180 donors included in this study, 0.1% tested positive for HBsAg, 2.1% for anti-HBc, and 0.9% for anti-HCV. When the 93 donors with positive serologies for viral hepatitis were compared with those who were negative, a greater proportion of the positive serology group was found to have had a history of blood transfusions (OR=4.908; 95%CI=1.628 - 14.799; p<0.01), had repeatedly donated (OR=2.147; 95%CI=1.236 - 3.729; p<0.01), and used the CSE form for self-exclusion (OR=7.139; 95%CI=2.045 - 24.923; p<0.01). No variables were independently associated with self-exclusion. Conclusions: A history of blood transfusion, repeated donations, and self-exclusion are factors that should be considered during viral hepatitis screenings in blood banks.
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INTRODUCTION

Worldwide, there are approximately 400 million hepatitis B virus (HBV) carriers and 170 million individuals chronically infected with the hepatitis C virus (HCV)². The prevalences of hepatitis B in south-central Brazil and the northern region of Brazil are 2 and 8%, respectively². The prevalence of hepatitis C is 0.8% among all Brazilian blood donors (2.1% for northern donors and 0.7% for southern donors)³-⁵. Although hepatitis B has a high risk of vertical, horizontal, and sexual transmission, parenteral transmission is the most common means of transmission for both hepatitis B and C¹. The main risk factors for parenteral transmission are intravenous drug use and blood and hemocomponent transfusions¹.

In the State of Santa Catarina, in Southern Brazil, the residual risk of HBV transmission by transfusion during the testing window period is estimated to be 1 in every 15,400 donations, which is 16 times higher than that reported in the United States (1:250,000)⁶-⁷. For HCV, the residual risk is one in every 127,300 donations, which is 12 times higher than the risk found in the United States⁸. In Australia, this risk is estimated at approximately 1 in 633,000 transfusions for hepatitis B and 1 in 6,387,000 transfusions for hepatitis C⁹.

Post-transfusion hepatitis (PTH) was first described in 1943. Studies evaluating the risk criteria for transfusible infectious diseases in blood donors began in the 1960s and 1970s and compared voluntary and commercial donations⁹-¹¹. For a long time, a viral etiology was suspected for PTH; in 1965, the Australia antigen was discovered and was later called the hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg)¹²-¹⁵. After the discovery of the hepatitis A virus and its exclusion as the PTH cause, it became clear that a large portion of PTH was caused by other unknown viruses; such infections were called non-A non-B hepatitis (NANB hepatitis)¹⁶-¹⁸. In the 1970s and 1980s, extensive research was conducted to establish the etiologic agent of NANB hepatitis, leading to the discovery of the hepatitis C virus in 1989¹⁹-²⁰. Since then, recent studies have established that 80-90% of NANB hepatitis is caused by HCV¹²,¹⁹-²¹.

Safety in blood donation is a major concern at blood transfusion centers²². Efforts to guarantee safety focus on ensuring a supply of suitable blood bags, selecting healthy donors, and preventing donations by individuals at an increased
risk of transfusion transmissible infections\textsuperscript{23}. Despite the development of assays to detect infectious diseases, transmission by transfusion still occurs for reasons such as the testing window period, the convalescent phase of an HBV infection, or a chronic HBV infection with a low HBsAg\textsuperscript{24-26}. Additionally, new pathogens transmitted by risky behavior that are not yet tested by transfusion still occurs for reasons such as the testing window period, the convalescent phase of an HBV infection, or a chronic HBV infection with a low HBsAg\textsuperscript{24-26}. Additionally, new pathogens transmitted by risky behavior that are not yet tested

The selection of donors is still the only way to prevent the transmission of infected blood donated during the immunological testing window\textsuperscript{27,28}. One of the steps in this selection is the confidential self-exclusion (CSE) form on which the blood donors can exclude their donated blood without providing any justification\textsuperscript{29,29}. Donors who self-exclude could do so because they think that they have risk factors or because they are donating blood to obtain their serological test results\textsuperscript{29}. Donors who self-exclude could do so because they think that they have risk factors or because they are donating blood to obtain their serological test results\textsuperscript{29}. The first CSE system was developed in the United States in 1984, and since then, similar systems have been used in several countries\textsuperscript{24}. In Brazil, the use of CSE has been mandatory with blood donations since 2003\textsuperscript{30,31}. Few studies have evaluated the effectiveness of CSE in preventing the transmission of infectious diseases by blood donation\textsuperscript{26,28}, so this study aimed to evaluate the clinical and epidemiological characteristics associated with a positive serology for hepatitis B and C and with the use of the CSE form.

**METHODS**

**Subjects**

This cross-sectional study reviewed the records of blood donations at the Blood Bank of the University Hospital of the Federal University of Santa Catarina (HU/UFSC). The subjects were adults who met the selection criteria of the blood bank and who donated blood between January 2009 and December 2010. Individuals who were seropositive for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), had insufficient records of clinical and laboratory data, and made repeated donations were excluded from the study (only the first donation was included).

**Methods**

Epidemiological, laboratory, and other clinical variables were obtained from histories taken during routine donations at the blood bank. Patients were evaluated for a positive viral hepatitis serology. Those individuals who showed a positive or indeterminate HBsAg, a reactive or indeterminate antibody to hepatitis B core antigen (anti-HBc), or a reactive or indeterminate anti-HCV were considered positive.

The clinical and epidemiological variables assessed in the study were the following: age (in years), gender, ethnicity (Caucasian or not), marital status (married, in a stable relationship, single, separated, divorced, or widowed), education (in years), having a homosexual relationship, body mass index (defined as weight/height\textsuperscript{2}), being a healthcare professional, history of previous surgery, history of receiving blood transfusions, sexual promiscuity (two or more partners in the last year), previous use of illicit drugs, tattoos, reason for donation (spontaneous: unselfish donation, made with a caring attitude; or directed: donation bound or tied to a patient), first donation or not, donation with the intention of obtaining the serology results, and CSE status (suggestion of the donor after the interview that their blood should be discarded).

**Statistical analysis**

The continuous variables were analyzed using Student's t-test or the Mann-Whitney test, when appropriate. The categorical variables were compared using the chi-square ($\chi^2$) or Fisher's exact test. A p-value < 0.05 indicated statistical significance. A comparative analysis of the data was performed according to the serological positivity for viral hepatitis. A logistic regression analysis was used to identify the variables that were independently associated with the presence of positive serologic tests for viral hepatitis. An analysis comparing the data with the CSE decision was also performed. All of the tests were performed by the statistical program Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, Version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Ethical considerations**

This study complied with the ethical recommendations of the Helsinki Declaration of 2000 and was approved by our institutional review board under the number 1900.

**RESULTS**

**Patient characteristics**

During 2009 and 2010, 4,684 blood donations were collected at the Blood Bank of the HU/UFSC. Of these donations, 1,482 were excluded because they were donated by the same donor (repeated donations), 13 were excluded due to insufficient data, and 9 were excluded due to reactive HIV serology. Therefore, the study included 3,180 individual donors (Figure 1).

Of the donors with a positive serology for viral hepatitis, two had a positive or indeterminate HBsAg test (both were also reactive for anti-HBc). Sixty-three donors were reactive for anti-HBc alone, and 28 were anti-HCV reactive or indeterminate (2 of these 28 donors were also reactive for anti-HBc), totaling 93 individuals with a positive serology for viral hepatitis. Among the donors who presented as reactive for anti-HBc alone, 43 were also tested for anti-HBs, with 24 found to be reactive, 18 found to be negative, and 1 with an indeterminate result (Figure 2).

The demographic characteristics of the 3,180 blood donors included in the study were as follows: the mean age was 27.2 ± 9.7 years, 59.4% were men, 96.2% were Caucasian, 20.8% were married or were in a stable relationship, 97.2% had undergone more than 8 years of education, and 23.4% were either overweight or obese. The epidemiological characteristics of the donors were as follows: 9.9% were health professionals, 21.6% had undergone previous surgery, 0.9% had undergone blood transfusion, 0.3% had previously used illicit drugs, and 8.1% had a tattoo. With regard to the reasons for donating blood, 11.2% donors were relatives or friends of patients (direct
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FIGURE 1 - Flow diagram of the potential candidates for inclusion in the study, reasons for exclusion, and subjects enrolled. HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus.
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FIGURE 2 - Flow diagram of the 93 blood donors with positive serology for viral hepatitis. HBsAg: hepatitis B surface antigen; anti-HBc: antibody to hepatitis B core antigen; anti-HCV: antibody to hepatitis C virus; anti-HBs: antibody to hepatitis B surface antigen.
donation), 55.3% donated for the first time, and 0.1% donated to obtain the results of the blood exams. Among all the individuals included in the study, 0.7% chose self-exclusion of their blood.

**Comparative analysis of individuals with positive serology**

Compared with individuals with negative serology for viral hepatitis, those with positive serology had higher rates of being in a stable relationship or marriage (33.3% vs 20.4%, p < 0.01), having a past history of blood transfusion (4.3% vs 0.8%, p < 0.01), giving a directed donation (23.7% vs 10.8%, p < 0.01), giving their first donation (62% vs 44.2%, p < 0.01), and choosing to self-exclude (3.3% vs 0.6%, p = 0.02) (Table 1). Furthermore, donors with positive serology had less frequently attended the complete compulsory education program (93.4% vs 97.3%, p = 0.04).

The multivariate analysis showed that the following variables were independently associated with positive serology for viral hepatitis: history of previous blood transfusions (adjusted OR=4.908, 95%CI=1.628-14.799, p < 0.01), directed donation (adjusted OR=2.147, 95%CI=1.236-3.729, p < 0.01), and choosing to self-exclude (adjusted OR=7.139, 95%CI=2.045-24.923, p < 0.01).

**DISCUSSION**

Research on blood donors has shown a predominance of male donors, with a prevalence of 63.9-71.3%32-35. With respect to ethnicity, 96.2% of blood donors in the present study were Caucasian, which is consistent with the 2010 census in which 83.9% of the population of the State of Santa Catarina declared themselves as Caucasian36. In a similar study, the percentage of Caucasian blood donors in Southern Brazil was 99.2%33. The

### TABLE 1 - Distribution of the clinical and epidemiological variables of 3,180 blood donors at the University Hospital of the Federal University of Santa Catarina between 2009 and 2010, according to their viral hepatitis serology results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical and epidemiological variables</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>positive</th>
<th>negative</th>
<th>Crude odds ratio</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)*</td>
<td>27.2 ± 9.7</td>
<td>28.7 ± 10.8</td>
<td>27.1 ± 9.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males (%)</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.649-1.503</td>
<td>0.95č</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasians (%)</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.316-2.424</td>
<td>0.78č</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/stable relationship (%)</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>1.952</td>
<td>1.257-3.031</td>
<td>&lt;0.01q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education: &gt; 8 years (%)</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.165-0.915</td>
<td>0.04č</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homosexual relationship (%)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.965-0.977</td>
<td>1.00č</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.28M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health professional (%)</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>0.206-1.267</td>
<td>0.14q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous surgery (%)</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>1.219</td>
<td>0.755-1.969</td>
<td>0.42q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood transfusion (%)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5.822</td>
<td>1.978-17.135</td>
<td>0.01č</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promiscuity (%)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.965-0.976</td>
<td>0.27č</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug use (%)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.965-0.977</td>
<td>1.00č</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tattoo (%)</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.424-2.024</td>
<td>0.85q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directed donation (%)</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>2.561</td>
<td>1.566-4.187</td>
<td>&lt;0.01q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First donation (%)</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>0.318-0.746</td>
<td>&lt;0.01q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donation for blood analysis (%)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.758</td>
<td>1.666-19.905</td>
<td>0.02č</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index; CSE: confidential self-exclusion; *Mean ± standard deviation; #Median; tStudent’s t-test; M(Mann-Whitney test; qchi-squared test; čFisher’s exact test.
The prevalence of viral hepatitis markers in this study (HBsAg: 0.1%, anti-HBc: 2.1%, anti-HCV: 0.9%) was lower compared with that in countries of high endemicity and similar to levels observed in areas with a low prevalence of these infections. In a study in Pakistan, 4.7% of the donors were found to be HBsAg-positive. In Vietnam, the prevalences were 11.4 and 51.7% for HBsAg and anti-HBc, respectively. These countries are located in South and Southeast Asia, regions with a high prevalence of hepatitis B. In the United States, the prevalence of HBsAg in blood donors is 0.2%. In Europe, the distribution of individuals with HBsAg positivity is heterogeneous and varies according to region; Northern Europe has the lowest prevalence (less than 0.1%), Western Europe has a prevalence of 0.1-0.5%, and Southern and Eastern Europe have higher rates of 2.4%.

Worldwide, Egypt has the highest number of individuals with hepatitis C infection, with 16.8% of their donors being anti-HCV reactive. However, the prevalence of hepatitis C infection in the general population of developed countries varies from 1-2%. In the United States, for example, approximately 0.5% of volunteer blood donors are anti-HCV reactive.

The prevalence of positive serology for viral hepatitis found in this study is similar to those reported previously for Brazil by other authors (HBsAg: 0.14-0.78%, anti-HBc: 2.05-13.44%).
anti-HCV: 0.34-1.14%\textsuperscript{46-48}. The prevalence of hepatitis B markers in Brazil varies greatly by region. The literature shows that Southern Brazil is an area of low endemicity, but the Western State of Santa Catarina has high endemicity. Data from 2001 showed an HBsAg prevalence of 0.6% in the blood donors in Santa Catarina, 1.5% in the Western region, 0.3% in the Southern region, and 0.6% in the capital, Florianópolis\textsuperscript{48}. The anti-HBc rates also vary by location, with rates of 5.4% state-wide, 12.7% in the Western region, 2.9% in the Southern region, and 3.5% in Florianópolis\textsuperscript{48}. Although the presence of anti-HBc antibodies in individuals who are HBsAg-negative may represent a previous infection (anti-HBs reactive), there are reports of the transmission of hepatitis B by blood transfusion from individuals in this group. For this reason, in places of intermediate prevalence, such as Brazil, anti-HBc-reactive individuals are treated as carriers, and the donated blood bags are discarded. A prevalence of 3% positivity for anti-HCV is estimated in the world population\textsuperscript{1}. However, in Brazil, there is a prevalence of 0.8% of anti-HCV reactivity in blood donors\textsuperscript{1}. Data indicate a prevalence of 0.3% of infection in the entire State of Santa Catarina (0.2% in the west, 0.4% in the south, and 0.5% in the capital)\textsuperscript{48}.

Although blood transfusion is a recognized risk factor for the transmission of hepatitis B and C, PTH is currently virtually eradicated and is limited to a residual risk estimated only by mathematical models\textsuperscript{5}. However, there is evidence suggesting that the global epidemic of HCV infection during the second half of the 20th century was initiated and maintained by the increasing use of parenteral therapies and blood transfusions\textsuperscript{46}. The main factors contributing to the reduction of post-transfusion hepatitis were the exclusion of commercial donations and the introduction in blood blanks of a serological screening program that was made progressively more sensitive\textsuperscript{11}. After the implementation of mandatory HBsAg tests for blood donors in the United States in 1972, there was a 25% reduction in the rate of PTH in that country\textsuperscript{11}. In Brazil, serological tests for HBV did not become mandatory until 1978\textsuperscript{28}. Eighteen percent of multi-transfused patients were infected with HCV in the period before the introduction of mandatory screening for anti-HCV in 1993; afterward, the infection rate was reduced to 1.4%\textsuperscript{4,51}.

Paid donations have been banned in Brazil for the past 33 years, although there are still some rewards provided to donors, such as free meals after donation\textsuperscript{35,52}. The experiences of countries that stopped making remunerations to donors have shown that altruistic donations are insufficient to maintain a positive balance in the blood banks\textsuperscript{32}. Linked donations, including donations among friends and relatives of patients who need transfusions\textsuperscript{32}, are needed. These types of donations are performed by a wide spectrum of donors, ranging from family and friends to individuals who present themselves as such but who are actually receiving payment from the patient’s family\textsuperscript{53}. Several studies have demonstrated a relationship between directed donation and higher rates of positive serology for infections transmitted by blood transfusions\textsuperscript{37,54-56}. Dorsey et al. observed that this result could be explained by a greater number of first-time donors\textsuperscript{54}.

In this study, a self-exclusion prevalence of 0.7\% was found, which means that 22 bags of blood were discarded between 2009 and 2010 due to self-exclusion. This result is consistent with the findings of another study conducted in Southern Brazil, where 0.5\% of the donations were discarded due to self-exclusion\textsuperscript{13}. However, studies performed in Uberaba, State of Minas Gerais and Londrina, State of Paraná, showed higher self-exclusion rates (2.7 and 3.2\%, respectively)\textsuperscript{57,58}. Although no clinical or epidemiological variables were related to CSE in this study, previous studies have shown that males and first-time donors respond yes on the CSE form more frequently\textsuperscript{28,57-60}. However, the greater the number of repeated donations, the fewer times the CSE form was used to self-exclude the donor’s blood\textsuperscript{57,60}.

Although a relationship between CSE and positive serology for viral hepatitis has been previously demonstrated\textsuperscript{22,57,61}, the ability of this practice to identify individuals in the testing window period was not previously addressed. The sensitivity and positive predictive values of CSE are quite low\textsuperscript{22,58,61-63} leading to the disposal of many blood bags from potentially healthy donors. The main factors related to problems with the CSE form are the difficulty of understanding the question\textsuperscript{26,28,57,58}, the low socioeconomic status of the donors\textsuperscript{58,59}, and the ineffective explanations by health professionals\textsuperscript{58}. Another aspect to be considered is the varied format and content of the form, which are different for each blood bank\textsuperscript{7,58}. It was shown that blood banks that use forms with plain text and color pictures have a lower frequency of affirmative responses to the self-exclusion choice, leading to a reduction in the disposal of low-risk blood bags and to an increase in the sensitivity of the procedure. Kean et al.\textsuperscript{64} observed a reduction of self-exclusion from 0.7\% to 0.3\% when the donor was properly and timely orientated to the CSE form\textsuperscript{64}. Summig et al. demonstrated that the self-exclusion rate decreased from 0.7\% to 0.5\% after a change in the format of the question\textsuperscript{59}.

Even with a high sensitivity and a significant positive predictive value, Martins et al.\textsuperscript{57} found three cases of positive serology after self-exclusion in less than 6 months (two cases of hepatitis C and one of HIV)\textsuperscript{57}. Other longitudinal studies should be conducted to address the real value of CSE. Educational measures, changes in the format and content of self-exclusion forms, and campaigns aimed to increase donors’ motivation to re-donate rather than only captivate new donors are possible actions that may decrease the rate of self-exclusion and avoid the loss of safe donations.

Considering the literature and the findings of this study, it was observed that a history of a prior transfusion is directly related to positive serology for hepatitis in a blood bank, even when the date of the transfusion is not considered. Directed blood donations, although necessary, should be considered as high-risk donations for the transmission of viral hepatitis, as should donations from individuals who choose to self-exclude their donated blood, although a reduced number of individuals voted for self-exclusion in the present study. Finally, we conclude that a history of blood transfusion, repeated donations, and self-exclusion are factors that should be considered during viral hepatitis screening in blood banks.
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